# Lecture 1, MIT 6.867 (Machine Learning), Fall 2008

Michael Collins

September 3, 2008

## Hand-Written Digit Recognition

- ► The problem: given a hand-written digit, decide whether it is 0, 1, 2, ... or 9
- A learning approach:
  - 1. Collect several hundred/thousand example digits, and label them by hand to form a *training set*
  - 2. Automatically learn a digit recognition *model* from the training set
  - 3. Apply the model to new, previously unseen hand-written digits
- Systems built in this way are in widespread use in the U.S. postal service (ZIP-code recognition), and in automatic check-reading

- Identifying faces within an image (see the Viola and Jones face detector)
- Text classification/spam filtering
- Medical applications: e.g., classification of cancer type
- Information retrieval: e.g., ranking web-pages in order of relevance to a given query

#### Supervised Learning Problems

• Goal: Learn a function  $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ 

▶ We have *n* training examples

$$\{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n)\}$$

where each  $x_i \in \mathcal{X}$ , and each  $y_i \in \mathcal{Y}$ 

- Often (not always)  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^d$  for some integer d
- Some possibilities for  $\mathcal{Y}$ :
  - $\mathcal{Y} = \{-1, +1\}$  (binary classification)
  - $\mathcal{Y} = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  for some k > 2 (multi-class classification)
  - $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{R}$  (regression)

## Structure of the Course

(See the webpage for complete details.)

- Lectures: Monday/Wednesday
- Recitations times (pick one): Friday at 10am, 11am, 2pm, 3pm
- ▶ Problem sets: 5 problem sets, due roughly every 2 weeks

▲日▼ ▲□▼ ▲ □▼ ▲ □▼ ■ ● ● ●

- Exams:
  - Midterm, in class, October 15 (Wed)
  - Final exam, in class, December 8 (Mon)
- Project: due date of December 4th (Thursday)

## Syllabus

- 1. Linear models:
  - Binary classification with the perceptron, support vector machines, kernel methods
  - Generalization to multi-class problems, ranking problems, collaborative filtering, etc.
- 2. Learning theory, model selection
- 3. Probabilistic models for classification and regression (linear regression, logistic regression, generative models)
- 4. Unsupervised learning (the EM algorithm, clustering methods)
- 5. Structured probabilistic models (hidden Markov models, Bayesian networks, graphical models)
- 6. Other possible topics: boosting, active learning

## Today's Lecture

- Binary classification problems
- Linear classifiers
- The perceptron algorithm

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ = □ ● の < @

#### Classification Problems: An Example

- Goal: build a system that automatically determines whether an image is a human face or not
- ► Each image is 100 × 100 pixels, where each pixel takes a grey-scale value in the set {0, 1, 2, ..., 255}
- We represent an image as a point  $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , where  $d = 100^2 = 10000$
- ▶ We have n = 50 training examples, where each training example is an **input** point  $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{10000}$  paired with a **label** y where y = +1 if the training example contains a face, y = -1 otherwise

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

#### **Binary Classification Problems**

- Goal: Learn a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \{-1, +1\}$
- We have n training examples

$$\{(\underline{x}_1, y_1), (\underline{x}_2, y_2), \dots, (\underline{x}_n, y_n)\}$$

▲日▼ ▲□▼ ▲ □▼ ▲ □▼ ■ ● ● ●

- Each  $\underline{x}_i$  is a point in  $\mathbb{R}^d$
- Each  $y_i$  is either +1 or -1

#### Supervised Learning Problems

• Goal: Learn a function  $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ 

▶ We have *n* training examples

$$\{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n)\}$$

where each  $x_i \in \mathcal{X}$ , and each  $y_i \in \mathcal{Y}$ 

- Often (not always)  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^d$  for some integer d
- Some possibilities for  $\mathcal{Y}$ :
  - $\mathcal{Y} = \{-1, +1\}$  (binary classification)
  - $\mathcal{Y} = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  for some k > 2 (multi-class classification)
  - $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{R}$  (regression)

## A Second Example: Spam Filtering

 Goal: build a system that predicts whether an email message is spam or not

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Training examples:  $(\underline{x}_i, y_i)$  for  $i = 1 \dots n$
- Each  $y_i$  is +1 if a message is spam, -1 otherwise.
- Each  $\underline{x}_i$  is a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  representing a document

## What Kind of Solution would Suffice?

- Say we have n = 50 training examples. Each pixel can take 256 values. It's possible that some pixel, say pixel number 3, has a different value for every one of the 50 training examples
- ▶ Define x<sub>t,3</sub> for t = 1...n to be the value of pixel 3 on the t'th training example.
- A possible function  $f(\underline{x}')$  learned from the training set:

```
For t = 1 \dots 50:
If x'_3 = x_{t,3} then return y_t
Return -1
```

Classifies the training examples perfectly, but does it generalize to new examples?

### Model Selection

- ▶ How can we find classifiers that generalize well?
- Key point: we must constrain the set of possible functions that we entertain
- If our set of possible functions is too large, we have a risk of finding a "trivial" function that works perfectly on the training data, but does not generalize well
- If our set of possible functions is too small, we may not even be able to find a function that works well on the training data
- Later in the course we'll introduce formal (statistical) analysis relating the "size" of a set of functions to the generalization properties of a learning algorithm

#### Linear Classifiers through the Origin

Model form:

$$f(\underline{x};\underline{\theta}) = \operatorname{sign}(\theta_1 x_1 + \ldots + \theta_d x_d) = \operatorname{sign}(\underline{x} \cdot \underline{\theta})$$

▲日▼ ▲□▼ ▲ □▼ ▲ □▼ ■ ● ● ●

- $\underline{\theta}$  is a vector of real-valued parameters
- The functions in our class are parameterized by  $\underline{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^d$
- sign(z) = +1 if  $z \ge 0$ , and -1 otherwise

Linear Classifiers through the Origin: Geometric Intuition

- Each point  $\underline{x}$  is in  $\mathbb{R}^d$
- ► The parameters <u>θ</u> specify a hyperplane (linear separator) that separates points into -1 vs. +1

▲日▼ ▲□▼ ▲ □▼ ▲ □▼ ■ ● ● ●

► Specifically, the hyperplane is through the origin, with the vector <u>θ</u> as its normal

## Linear Classifiers (General Form)

Model form:

$$f(\underline{x}; \underline{\theta}, \theta_0) = \operatorname{sign}(\underline{x} \cdot \underline{\theta} + \theta_0)$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

- $\underline{\theta}$  is a vector of real-valued parameters,  $\theta_0$  is a "bias" parameter
- ▶ The functions in our class are parameterized by  $\underline{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$

Linear Classifiers (General Form): Geometric Intuition

- Each point  $\underline{x}$  is in  $\mathbb{R}^d$
- ► The parameters <u>\u03c6</u>, \u03c6<sub>0</sub> specify a hyperplane (linear separator) that separates points into -1 vs. +1
- Specifically, the hyperplane has the vector <u>θ</u> as its normal, and is at a distance θ<sub>0</sub>/||<u>θ</u>|| from the origin, where ||<u>θ</u>|| is the norm (length) of <u>θ</u>.

## A Learning Algorithm: The Perceptron

- We've chosen a function class (the class of linear separators through the origin)
- ► The estimation problem: choose a specific function in this class (i.e., a setting for the parameters <u>θ</u>) on the basis of the training set
- One suggestion: find a value for <u>\u03c8</u> that minimizes the number of training errors

$$\hat{E}(\underline{\theta}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \left( 1 - \delta(y_t, f(\underline{x}_t; \underline{\theta})) \right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \mathsf{Loss}(y_t, f(\underline{x}_t; \underline{\theta}))$$

where  $\delta(y,y')$  is 1 if y=y', 0 otherwise

Other definitions of Loss are possible

## The Perceptron Algorithm

▶ Initialization:  $\underline{\theta} = \underline{0}$  (i.e., all parameters are set to 0)

Repeat until convergence:

• For 
$$t = 1 \dots n$$

1. 
$$y' = \operatorname{sign}(\underline{x}_t \cdot \underline{\theta})$$
  
2. If  $y' \neq y_t$  Then  $\underline{\theta} = \underline{\theta} + y_t \underline{x}_t$ , Else leave  $\underline{\theta}$  unchanged

 "Convergence" occurs when the parameter vector <u>θ</u> remains unchanged for an entire pass over the training set. At that point, all training examples are classified correctly

#### More about the Perceptron

- Analysis: if there exists a parameter setting <u>*θ*</u> that correctly classifies all training examples, the algorithm will converge.
   Otherwise, the algorithm will not converge.
- ▶ Intuition: Suppose we make a mistake on  $\underline{x}_t$ . We then do the update  $\underline{\theta}' = \underline{\theta} + y_t \underline{x}_t$ . From this:

$$y_t(\theta' \cdot \underline{x}_t) = y_t(\underline{\theta} + y_t \underline{x}_t) \cdot \underline{x}_t = y_t(\underline{\theta} \cdot \underline{x}_t) + y_t^2(\underline{x}_t \cdot \underline{x}_t) = y_t(\underline{\theta} \cdot \underline{x}_t) + ||\underline{x}_t||^2$$

• Hence  $y_t(\theta \cdot \underline{x}_t)$  increases by  $||\underline{x}_t||^2$ 

## The Perceptron Convergence Theorem

▶ Assume their exists some parameter vector  $\underline{\theta}^*$ , and some  $\gamma > 0$  such that for all  $t = 1 \dots n$ ,

$$y_t(\underline{x}_t \cdot \underline{\theta}^*) \ge \gamma$$

▶ Assume in addition that for all  $t = 1 \dots n$ ,  $||\underline{x}_t|| \leq R$ 

Then the perceptron algorithm makes at most

$$\frac{R^2 ||\underline{\theta}^*||^2}{\gamma^2}$$

updates before convergence

#### A Geometric Interpretation

► Assume their exists some parameter vector  $\underline{\theta}^*$ , and some  $\gamma > 0$  such that for all  $t = 1 \dots n$ ,

$$y_t(\underline{x}_t \cdot \underline{\theta}^*) \ge \gamma$$

▲日▼ ▲□▼ ▲ □▼ ▲ □▼ ■ ● ● ●

• The ratio  $\gamma/||\underline{\theta}^*||$  is the smallest distance of any point  $\underline{x}_t$  to the hyperplane defined by  $\underline{\theta}^*$